

CONSTITUTIONAL COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA

CASE CCT 61/14

In the matter between:

ANDRÉ FRANCOIS PAULSEN

FIRST APPLICANT

MARGARETHA ELIZABETH PAULSEN

SECOND APPLICANT

and

SLIP KNOT INVESTMENTS 777 (PTY) LIMITED

RESPONDENT

APPLICANTS COMPLIANCE WITH PARAGRAPH 2(a) OF THE DIRECTIONS DATED 9TH OF JUNE 2014

1.

Applicants dispute the following factual findings as envisaged in the said directions:

- 1.1 Paragraph 14 of the judgement delivered by Blignault J on the 24th of February 2012 and more particularly insofar as the Honourable Judge found that the trustees of the Paulsen Trust and the trustees of Die Keurbos Beleggings Trust bound themselves as sureties. Legally the aforesaid trusts did not bind themselves as set out in paragraph 18 of the aforesaid judgment;
- 1.2 Applicants dispute the factual findings in paragraph 24 of the same judgement to the extent that the consumer envisaged in Section 4(1)(A)(i) is Winskor and not Slip Knot Investments 777 (Pty) Limited;
- 1.3 Applicants dispute that the asset value or turnover of Winskor 139 (Pty) Ltd exceeded the threshold as found by Wallis JA in paragraph 4 of his judgment.

DATED at STRAND on this 17th day of JULY 2014

.....
per: H L N Joubert
JOUBERT ATTORNEYS
Attorneys for Applicants
91 Main Road
STRAND
(Ref: HLNJ/mj/)
Tel. 021-854 6609

cl: Rossouws Attorneys
8 Sherborn Road
PARKTOWN

TO :-

The Registrar
CONSTITUTIONAL COURT

AND TO :-

Sim & Botsi Attorneys Inc.
Attorneys for the Respondent
Dudley Road
Parkwood Upper
JOHANNESBURG
(ref: LVR/S284)